Benefitting from a Cover Up
The Movement to Conceal Urban Highways with Parks

by Peter Harnik and Ben Welle

Urban radicals want automobiles banned. Urban moderates can perhaps live with cars as long as they’re not seen or heard.

In European central cities the radicals have the upper hand. In the U.S., where moderates reign, cities are increasingly settling for a compromise – an expensive compromise – by putting freeway segments underground and covering them with parkland. Whether called a lid, deck, bridge or tunnel, there are already at least 20 highway parks in the country and at least a dozen more somewhere in the planning pipeline. As urban auto impacts become less welcome, these decks have moved from the novel to the accepted to, increasingly, the expected. The sometimes considerable cost has gone from being classified “porkbarrel” to being redefined as “amenity investment with high economic payback.”

Most famous is Seattle’s aptly named Freeway Park, designed by the Lawrence Halprin firm and opened with great fanfare in 1976, but the concept actually goes back to 1939 when Robert Moses constructed the Franklin D. Roosevelt Expressway along Manhattan’s East River, tunneled it under the mayor’s home at Gracie Mansion and constructed 14-acre Carl Shurz Park on top. In 1950 Moses did it again, in Brooklyn, when citizens...
rose up against a planned expressway through the center of Brooklyn Heights. As a compromise he added the 1/3-mile long Brooklyn Promenade with its supreme view of lower Manhattan, remarking self-satisfiedly at the ribbon-cutting, “I don’t know of anything quite like this in any city in the world.”

The latest have been New Jersey’s innovative highway redesigns in Trenton and Atlantic City and the still-not-completed Rose Kennedy Greenway park blocks over Boston’s massive “Big Dig.” In a study carried out by the Trust for Public Land’s Center for City Park Excellence, it was found that the average size of the nation’s freeway parks is about nine acres, and that, on average, each one covers 1,620 linear feet of highway.

The Interstate Highway System, when it was originally conceived in the early 1950s, was designed to link but not penetrate cities. By the 1960s, however, the distinction had been forgotten. Highways became the preeminent tool of urban renewal and redesign, and vast swathes of urban real estate were paved over. Waterfronts were blockaded in Portland, Cincinnati, Hartford, Cleveland, Philadelphia and San Francisco. Nooses of concrete were tightly wound around the downtowns of Dallas and Charlotte. Trenches of noise and smog cut through Boston, Detroit, Seattle and Atlanta. Massive elevated structures threw shadows over Miami and New Orleans. And wide strips of land were taken from large, iconic parks in Los Angeles (Griffith Park), St. Louis (Forest Park), Baltimore (Druid Hill Park) and San Diego (Balboa Park).

A few downtown parks actually survived the devastation thanks to the intervention of historic preservationists, including Lytle Park in Cincinnati and the National Mall in Washington D.C. In both cases, citizen outcry forced the highway builders to tunnel underneath (although technically Lytle Park was leveled and then reconstructed three years later).

But it wasn’t until the construction of brand new Freeway Park that the “deck-the-freeway” concept began getting some serious attention. Because of the constrained, hourglass geography of Seattle, Interstate 5 was a particularly damaging road, and the environmentally oriented populace was dismayed by the impact. “There was a large moat of traffic between downtown and historically residential First Hill neighborhood,” says Freeway Park Neighborhood Association President David Brewster. But the city was lucky – not only was I-5 sunk into a deckable trough as it passed downtown, but a former Seattle mayor, James “Dorm” Braman had just been appointed assistant secretary of transportation for Urban Systems and the Environment by President Richard Nixon. Pushed by civic leader Jim Ellis and paid for under the city’s “Forward Thrust” bond initiative, Freeway Park opened in time for the Bicentennial and garnered coast-to-coast attention. “It was a model for other cities to heal the scar that cuts right through a neighborhood,” says Brewster.

Freeway Park was beautiful and memorable, but it failed on one major count: acoustics. At five acres it couldn’t completely muffle the sound of traffic, and the park experience is accompanied by a constant white noise – not obtrusive, but not minimal, either. (The park also has some safety and design issues that the city is now seeking to resolve.) Phoenix’s 10-acre Hance Park seems to have solved the noise challenge (as has Seattle’s new, much larger Sam Smith Park). Labeled by the Phoenix New
Times “a rare Phoenix instance of nature over traffic – in this case, literally.” Hance Park is decked over the Papago Freeway, uniting Uptown and downtown and providing a park adjacent to the city’s central library. The freeway (Interstate 10) was originally planned as an elevated bridge through downtown but opposition by citizens and the Arizona Republic killed that idea in a 1973 ballot measure. Not until ten years later did the city finally accept a below-grade solution with the park as a key sweetener. Hance Park opened in 1992 and today is the site of a Japanese Garden.

Freeway parks have also bridged the divide between cities and their waterfronts. In Duluth, Minn., a plan to build Interstate 35 through downtown Duluth along the Lake Superior shoreline generated intense opposition from environmentalists and historic preservationists. “The highway extension became a very polarizing issue in the city,” says former city planner Richard Loraas. In an effort at compromise, Duluth architect Kent Worley proposed covering the road with a large platform for about four city blocks. “That’s the solution,” Loraas recalls saying. Through shortening the freeway and using the resources for the covers, the idea received support from federal transportation officials and powerful Duluth congressman John Blatnik. Ultimately, three different deck parks were built, including one that saved Duluth’s historic Rose Garden. “The solution cleaned up the whole stretch of land along the lake,” says Loraas.

While construction costs for deck parks can be wincingly high, there is also an up side – the land itself is generally free, made available as air rights by the state transportation agency. In center-city locations this can amount to a multi-million-dollar gift. Land near the Santa Ana Freeway by Los Angeles City Hall, for instance, goes for between $2 million and $3 million an acre. In near-downtown San Diego by Balboa Park an acre is worth up to $13 million.

Regardless of cost, the actual forces driving – and making feasible – most deck parks is the opportunity for private development and redevelopment around them. In Trenton, for instance, New Jersey Department of Transportation spent $150 million on the new 65-acre Riverwalk deck over U.S. 29, linking the city to the Delaware River. In response, notes Trenton Planning Director Andrew Carten, “The project resulted in a significant spike in interest and the sale prices of property. After all, would you rather look over 600 trucks barreling past every day, or a scenic park and river?” One lot, worth $120,000 pre-construction, was developed with six housing units that sold for $200,000 each. The fact of the park also helped recruit a new 82-unit market rate residential building.

Projects where freeways are already below-grade are much more feasible than others, and there are four particularly high-prospect opportunities in major downtowns today, in St. Louis, Dallas, Cincinnati and San Diego. In St. Louis, one of Mayor Francis Slay’s top priorities is the “three-block solution,” a plan to cover a portion of I-70 between center city and the Gateway Arch. “We’re trying to get the annual three million visitors to the Arch into downtown St. Louis,” says Peter Sortino, President of the Danforth Foundation, which is handling the planning. “We’re also trying to help those already downtown more easily reach the Arch and the Mississippi riverfront.” An early rough estimate put the cost at a minimum of $40 million.
Cincinnati faces the identical situation. An interstate highway, Fort Washington Way, blocks downtown from the Ohio River and the city’s two new sports stadiums. However, there the political will has not yet solidified. Cincinnati had an opportunity to construct a five-block-long park deck during a recent reconstruction (and road narrowing), but opted not to because of cost. As a compromise, the new Fort Washington Way was equipped with $10 million worth of steel pilings capable of supporting a future park. (Adding the park deck is estimated to cost $46 million.)

Dallas, on the other hand, is fired up about the opportunity of building a park over a stretch of the Woodall-Rodgers Freeway. The freeway separates the city’s downtown and arts district from the Uptown neighborhood, and a three-block park cover is seen as both improving the urban form and opening up new opportunities for development. An existing trolley line would run through the park and condominium towers are expected to flank it on both sides. A developer of a nearby tower is also enthusiastic, telling the Dallas Tribune that the park “will be a fabulous amenity to [my] building.” The park’s price tag is estimated at over $60 million, but ardent boosters are seeking to raise one-third of that from private sources.

Downtown interests in San Diego are in the early stages of evaluating decking a few blocks of I-5 so as to link with Balboa Park. The city is in the midst of an unprecedented center city residential construction boom, and the highway presents a major barrier for the thousands of apartment dwellers who have little access to green space.

Despite the cost of a park deck, there are numerous sources of local, state and federal funds to cobble together, particularly if an analysis shows that associated development will generate significantly more tax revenue. One direct approach is to create a tax increment financing (TIF) district, whereby future increased tax revenue is used to pay back the costs of the deck park. (Chicago used a TIF as partial funding for Millennium Park which was built over railroad tracks.) Other local funding sources include general public works capital funds, revenue from another form of a special tax district, or municipal bonds. (Seattle’s “Forward Thrust” bond paid 20 percent of the cost of Freeway Park.) Often the deck superstructure is paid for by the federal government while actual park development is financed by the city. (Phoenix spent $5 million landscaping Hance Park.)

On the federal level, several decks were built using the Transportation Department’s Interstate Construction Program, but that no longer exists. At present, a state can use National Highway System or Surface Transportation Program funds (although only at the time of road construction, not as an after-the-fact retrofit). The Transportation Enhancement program conceivably could be used if the project provides pedestrian and bicycle facilities and landscaping and scenic beautification. In addition, while the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program has shrunk since Seattle used it for Freeway Park in the 1970s, it is still also available.

State transportation funding may also be available. The Trenton project involved reconstruction of a New Jersey highway, and the state transportation department paid for it. In Duluth, Minnesota Department of Transportation contributed ten percent of the cost. Private funding can play a role, too. In Cincinnati 20 percent of
the narrowing of Fort Washington Way was financed through private dollars, including $250,000 from the Cincinnati Bengals.

The real key to a successful highway park deck is the economic spinoff that’s generated. With a deck costing as much as $500 per square foot to build, it must be carefully justified through their potential impact as a redevelopment tool for surrounding real estate. Only then will the rate of return show both public and private funding sources the value of the investment.

Many years ago, urbanist and public intellectual Lewis Mumford said, “Forget the damned motor car and build the cities for lovers and friends.” Building parks over freeways doesn’t forget the automobile, but if done right, it offers some help to lovers and friends. That’s a combination that could make political leaders happy.
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